

Exposure History of Separated Phases from the Kapoeta Meteorite

M.W. Caffee, K. Nishiizumi and J. Mazarik

*This article was submitted to
31st Lunar and Planetary Science Conference
Houston, TX
March 13-17, 2000*

January 14, 2000

U.S. Department of Energy

Lawrence
Livermore
National
Laboratory

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

This report has been reproduced
directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831
Prices available from (423) 576-8401
<http://apollo.osti.gov/bridge/>

Available to the public from the
National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Rd.,
Springfield, VA 22161
<http://www.ntis.gov/>

OR

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
Technical Information Department's Digital Library
<http://www.llnl.gov/tid/Library.html>

EXPOSURE HISTORY OF SEPARATED PHASES FROM THE KAPOETA METEORITE. M. W. Caffee¹, K. Nishiizumi², and J. Mazarik², ¹Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry and Geosciences and Environmental Technologies Division, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550. (caffee1@llnl.gov), ²Space Sciences Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, CA94720-7450. (kuni@ssl.berkeley.edu).

Abstract: The cosmogenic radionuclides, ¹⁰Be (1.5 Ma), ²⁶Al (0.705 Ma), ³⁶Cl (0.301 Ma), and ⁵³Mn (3.7 Ma) were measured in selected clasts and matrix samples from the howardite Kapoeta. This work is an extension of that based on ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al [1]. Recent work based on measurements of cosmogenic ²¹Ne suggest the possibility of a complex recent exposure history for Kapoeta. The measurement of these radionuclides, in conjunction with production rates based on Monte Carlo calculations, can constrain exposure conditions and durations. Taken together, the radionuclide data are entirely consistent with a single stage 4 π exposure lasting ~ 3 Ma.

Introduction: Meteorites have been exposed to energetic particles at various stages of their evolution. All meteorites share a recent exposure to galactic cosmic rays (GCR) and solar cosmic rays (SCR) as meter-sized-bodies while in Earth-crossing orbits. The simplest irradiation scenario is one in which the meteoroid was excavated from deeper than several meters from within a large body and subsequently exposed to cosmic rays as a meter-sized body. Although this scenario applies to a large number of meteorites it is not universally true. Some meteorites show evidence of a complex recent exposure [e.g. 2, 3, 4]. Additionally, many meteorites were exposed to energetic particles during an earlier epoch. For example, while it is known that gas-rich meteorites were exposed before their final consolidation it is not known precisely when this exposure occurred. Caffee *et al.* [5] hypothesized that this exposure to energetic particles occurred during a period when the solar energetic particle flux was enhanced relative to present-day particle fluxes. Accordingly, the demonstration of a recent complex exposure for Kapoeta is directly relevant to the hypothesis of Caffee et al [5].

Cosmogenic ²¹Ne: Caffee *et al.* [6] determined an exposure age of 3.5 Ma for Kapoeta feldspars containing no solar-flare tracks, an age in good agreement with that determined from previous measurements based on bulk samples. However, a pyroxene separate yielded an exposure age of ~0.5 Ma. Measurements by Pedroni *et al.* [7] verified the existence of components within Kapoeta having cosmogenic ²¹Ne exposure ages < 3.5 Ma. Pun et al. [8] extended and verified these measurements. Pun et al. [8] also proposed a modified cosmogenic ²¹Ne production rate for the samples of Pedroni which results exposure ages of ~2.1 Ma for the carbonaceous clasts. Accordingly, Pun et al. [8] proposed that Kapoeta has been exposed in a 4 π geometry as a small body for 2.1 Ma.

Experiment: The hypothesis of Pun et al. [8] has two

essential elements that can be tested using cosmogenic radionuclides. The first element is an integrated exposure consisting of both 4 π and 2 π exposures. The second element is that while all constituents share the most recent 4 π exposure, the duration of exposure in the 2 π geometry varies between the different constituents. Both of these elements are testable using cosmogenic radionuclides. If some constituents of Kapoeta were exposed only in a 4 π geometry for ~2.1 Ma, while others have in addition to this exposure a 2 π exposure while resident in a parent body regolith immediately prior to its fragmentation, there would be readily detectable variations in the activities of some of the cosmogenic radionuclides, specifically ¹⁰Be and ⁵³Mn.

Procedure and Results: The sample choice was made with the goal of selecting those samples which, on the basis of noble gas and petrographic considerations, would be most likely to exemplify any complex exposure. In a previous work [1] we reported cosmogenic ¹⁰Be and ²⁶Al results. Table 1 summarizes the cosmogenic radionuclides from both the earlier work [1] and the work reported herein. The details of the sample preparation are given in Nishiizumi [1] and are only summarized herein. The ¹⁰Be, ²⁶Al, and ³⁶Cl concentrations were measured using AMS techniques at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory [9]. The errors in Table 1 include a $\pm 1\sigma$ AMS measurement and sample weight errors but do not reflect errors in the chemical analysis (1.5 - 2 %) or the uncertainty in the absolute activities of the standards. The ⁵³Mn activities in the dark and light bulk portions of Kapoeta were measured by neutron activation [10]. The neutron activation was performed at JRR-3 reactor, Ibaraki, Japan. The ¹⁰Be concentration in the dark and light bulk portions was measured using AMS at the University of Tokyo. These samples were split from a ~1 cm piece of Kapoeta: a different specimen from those prepared by Pedroni.

Table 1. Cosmogenic Radionuclides in Kapoeta

Sample	¹⁰ Be (dpm/kg)	²⁶ Al (dpm/kg)	³⁶ Cl (dpm/kg)	⁵³ Mn (dpm/kg Fe)
Clast 3/C1	18.10 \pm 1.03	36 \pm 11	6.91 \pm 0.55	
Clast 3/C2	17.02 \pm 0.28	45.6 \pm 3.1	6.89 \pm 0.51	
Clast 3/_	16.97 \pm 0.36	78.6 \pm 4.5	18.01 \pm 0.47	
Mtx 3/C1/3	16.55 \pm 0.17	66.2 \pm 2.4	9.49 \pm 0.17	
Mtx 3/_3,4	16.90 \pm 0.25	68.8 \pm 1.4	12.05 \pm 0.30	
Dark	16.47 \pm 0.51*			182 \pm 10
Light	16.91 \pm 0.69*			180 \pm 11

Discussion: Given the possible complexity of the Kapoeta irradiation, and the inhomogenous distribution of

spallation targets the measurement of numerous nuclides is essential. Each of the cosmogenic nuclides measured in this work contains specific clues needed to reconstruct the irradiation conditions. The ^{10}Be activity in the five splits from Pedroni are essentially identical at 17 ± 1 dpm/kg (Table 1). In addition to this work, we measured ^{10}Be with the University of Tokyo AMS group [11] in dark and light portions of Kapoeta. The ^{10}Be concentrations are $16.5 \pm .5$ dpm/kg for the dark phase and $16.9 \pm .7$ dpm/kg for the light phase, identical to the separated phases. Based on the ^{10}Be activities alone it can be supposed that all the diverse constituents of Kapoeta represented in this study have the same exposure history within the time frame accessible by ^{10}Be . This conclusion is at odds with the observations based on cosmogenic ^{21}Ne . Thus far our conclusions are based exclusively on activity data; they are independent of production rates and exposure conditions. To address the issue of how long the exposure durations are and in what geometry they occurred it is necessary to have more information regarding the specific conditions under which the irradiation occurred. Toward that end we have determined ^{36}Cl activities and performed Monte Carlo calculations to model the expected ^{36}Cl concentrations for a variety of shielding conditions. Since the half-life of ^{36}Cl is much shorter than the hypothesized 4π exposure time of 2.1 Ma [8] we expect the ^{36}Cl activity for all samples to be equal to the saturation value appropriate for their respective target chemistries. This value though is a function of both the diameter of the meteoroid and the depth at which the constituents were buried. Our calculations indicate that the ^{36}Cl activities in all the samples are consistent with exposure in a 4π geometry on a meteoroid having a pre-atmospheric radius of ~ 20 cm. Additionally, the calculations predict a burial depth of several cm. The ^{26}Al measurements serve two purposes: verification of the ^{36}Cl results and as a tracer for exposure to SCRs. For all five aliquots, after target chemistries were taken into account, the ^{26}Al activities were consistent with the saturation activity expected for those exposure conditions required by the ^{36}Cl activities. More significant though is the observation that the ^{26}Al activities were not higher than those predicted for GCR production. Cosmogenic ^{26}Al is produced prodigiously by SCRs. Many constituents within Kapoeta contain solar-wind-implanted noble gases. Of those samples analyzed in this work the two matrix samples were exposed at some point in their history to the solar wind, as evidenced by the presence of large amounts of ^{20}Ne . During this exposure SCR ^{26}Al must have been produced. The lack of any detectable vestige of this ^{26}Al evinces a burial period, lasting at least several Ma, of these constituents between Kapoeta's 4π exposure and its 2π regolith exposure. Building on the knowledge provided by the shorter-lived cosmogenic radionuclides, it is possible to use the ^{10}Be activities to calculate an exposure age. The ^{10}Be saturation

activity for small bodies having a minimal of shielding is estimated 23 dpm/kg. This yields 4π exposure ages for the various constituents of Kapoeta of ~ 2.9 Ma. The ^{10}Be activity data alone are not necessarily at variance with some of the noble gas data. For example, a two-stage irradiation could be accommodated in which a 4π exposure of ~ 2.1 Ma immediately followed a longer 2π exposure. Again, based on the ^{10}Be data, all constituents would have experienced the same exposure history. However, the lack SCR ^{26}Al indicates that some time elapsed between the 4π and 2π exposures. During this time period the ^{10}Be activity would decrease, which in turn requires a longer 4π exposure to reach the measured activity levels. Moreover, since the duration of the 2π exposure is such that the ^{10}Be activity is at or near saturation, any intermediate burial period makes it increasing difficult to accumulate significant quantities of ^{10}Be in the 2π exposure. This argument is further reinforced by the measured activity of ^{53}Mn in the light and dark fractions. The ^{53}Mn exposure ages are 3.1 Ma, indistinguishable from the ^{10}Be exposure ages.

Summary: Cosmogenic ^{10}Be , ^{26}Al , ^{36}Cl , and ^{53}Mn were measured in a variety of samples from Kapoeta. All these radionuclides are consistent with a 4π exposure on a small body having a duration of ~ 3 Ma. The noble gases, specifically cosmogenic ^{21}Ne , attest to irradiation periods in excess of this. Constituents of Kapoeta undoubtedly underwent exposure to energetic particles while resident in a parent body regolith. However, the timing of this exposure is unconstrained. The radionuclide data are consistent with a regolith exposure occurring before the time period addressable by the longest-lived radionuclide, ^{53}Mn .

References: [1] Nishiizumi K. *et al.* (1992) *Lunar and Planetary Science XXXIII*, 995-996 (Lunar Planet. Inst., Houston). [2] Heusser G. *et al.* (1985) *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 72, 263-272. [3] Honda M. *et al.* (1982) *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 57, 101-109. [4] Nishiizumi K. *et al.* (1979) *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 45, 285-292. [5] Caffee M.W. *et al.* (1988) in *Meteorites and the Early Solar System* (eds. Kerridge, J.F. & Matthews, M.S.) 205-245 (University of Arizona Press, Tucson). [6] Caffee M.W. *et al.* (1983) *Proc. Lunar Planet. Sci. Conf. 14th, J. Geophys. Res.* B267-B273. [7] Pedroni A. (1989) *Die korpuskulare Bestrahlung der Oberflächen von Asteroiden; eine Studie der Edelgase in den Meteoriten Kapoeta und Fayetteville* (ETH, Zürich.). [8] Pun A. *et al.* (1998) *Meteorit. Planet. Sci.* 33, 835-851. [9] Davis J.C. *et al.* (1990) *Nucl. Inst. Meth.* B52, 269-272. [10] Millard H.T.J. (1965) *Science* 147, 503-504. [11] Kobayashi K. *et al.* (1990) *Nucl. Inst. Meth.* B52, 254-258.

Acknowledgement. This work was supported by NASA grant NAG9-1051 and under the auspices of the D.O.E. by LLNL under contract W-7405-ENG-48.