

Pressure Wave Measurements from Thermal Cook-Off of an HMX based High Explosive

*J.W. Forbes, C.M Tarver, P.A. Urtiew, F. Garcia, D.W.
Greenwood, K.S. Vandersall*

This article was submitted to JANAF CS/APS/PSHS Joint Meeting,
Monterey, CA, November 13-17, 2000

U.S. Department of Energy

Lawrence
Livermore
National
Laboratory

October 10, 2000

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

This work was performed under the auspices of the United States Department of Energy by the University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract No. W-7405-Eng-48.

This report has been reproduced directly from the best available copy.

Available electronically at <http://www.doc.gov/bridge>

Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy
And its contractors in paper from
U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062
Telephone: (865) 576-8401
Facsimile: (865) 576-5728
E-mail: reports@adonis.osti.gov

Available for the sale to the public from
U.S. Department of Commerce
National Technical Information Service
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161
Telephone: (800) 553-6847
Facsimile: (703) 605-6900
E-mail: orders@ntis.fedworld.gov
Online ordering: <http://www.ntis.gov/ordering.htm>

OR

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
Technical Information Department's Digital Library
<http://www.llnl.gov/tid/Library.html>

Pressure wave measurements from thermal cook-off of an HMX based high explosive

J. W. Forbes, C. M. Tarver, P. A. Urtiew, F. Garcia, D. W. Greenwood, and K. S. Vandersall
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
P.O. Box 808, L-282
Livermore, CA 94551

ABSTRACT

A better understanding of thermal cook-off is important for safe handling and storing explosive devices. A number of safety issues exist about what occurs when a cased explosive thermally cooks off. For example, violence of the events as a function of confinement are important for predictions of collateral damage. This paper demonstrates how adjacent materials can be gauged to measure the resulting pressure wave and how this wave propagates in this adjacent material. The output pulse from the thermal cook-off explosive containing fixture is of obvious interest for assessing many scenarios.

INTRODUCTION

The effects of the HMX $\beta \rightarrow \delta$ phase transition,¹⁻³ which at atmospheric pressure occurs near 160°C, on thermal ignition, impact sensitivity and the kinetics of the cook-off processes need to be better understood for HMX containing explosives. Questions exist on the level of violence of these events as a function of confinement and thermal heating rates. In addition, the acceleration of the metal case by this type of thermal reaction is needed to access whether the resulting flyer can initiate detonation or reaction in a neighboring explosive item.

Results of cook-off events of known size, confinement, and thermal history are essential for developing and/or calibrating reactive flow computer models for calculating events that are difficult to measure experimentally.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Three different experiments on thermal exploding explosives have been performed. Two experiments thermally exploded stainless steel encased PBX 9501 (HMX/Estane/BDNPA-F; 95/2.5/3.5 wt %) donor charges. A transmitted two-dimensional pressure wave was measured by gauges in cylinders of Teflon or PBX 9501 that were in contact with the donor. A third experiment measured the thermal distribution in a Teflon system using the same metal fixture and the same heating rates used in the explosive donor experiments.

The first experiment (TEXT IV) is shown in Figure 1. The PBX 9501 cylindrical disc is confined by 304 Stainless Steel. The HE disc and case was designed such that the explosive would come into contact with all surfaces when the explosive was near 150°C. Some imprecision existed on when the HE came into contact, because the HE was not uniformly heated as the thermal expansion calculation assumed.

The front 12.4 mm thick stainless steel plate was fastened to the 12.4 mm rear steel plate with several grade A hardened steel bolts tightened to 70 ft-lbs. Each 9.0 cm by 2.5 cm thick disk of PBX 9501 weighing 295 g was radially contained by close fitting stainless steel ring with wall thickness of 34.5 mm. The ring height was the same as the explosive disc. A 3 mm thick 6061 T6 aluminum plate was placed between the front steel plate and the explosive to distribute the heat faster and more uniformly than would the steel plate. The aluminum plate also served as a gasket for a compression pressure seal since both steel interfaces had knife edges machined in them.

“Approved for public release, distribution is unlimited”

The flat spiral ribbon heater shown in Fig. 2 was placed between the steel cover plate and the aluminum plate. The two thermocouples are to monitor the temperature and to control the heating rate of the heater. No thermocouples were placed internal to the steel encased PBX 9501 to allow for a simple pressure seal design for the steel fixture. The same heater configuration was placed at the back of the target assembly.

Thermal Explosion Experiment Teflon TEXT-4




Figure 1. Schematic of TEXT IV gauged thermal explosion experiment

The triggering of the power supplies and the digitizers is a critical feature of this experiment. For the primary triggering system and to measure the wave arrival at the bottom steel plate surface, a series of thirteen PZT pins in a cross pattern with one pin at the center and each pin being 15 mm center to center distance apart were placed against the bottom steel plate. The thirteen PZT pins were all summed so that any one of them would trigger the digitizers and the power supply for the manganin gauge. A back-up break wire trigger system was used. This system provided a trigger pulse from a circuit if any of the wires broke. These break wires were also summed so the first one would trigger the digitizers and power supplies. Recall that the modern TDS digitizers continuously cyclically records until a trigger signal stops it. The digitizer then captures events ahead and behind the trigger signal at amounts determined by the chosen settings. This gives some flexibility in performing these cook-off experiments with some uncertainty in when and where the trigger signal will originate.

The manganin and carbon resistor gauges were embedded in a Teflon cylinder at 19 and 25 mm from the hot flat steel HE container surface. A schematic of the gauge placement in the Teflon discs is shown in Figure 2. The carbon resistor gauges were placed in machined grooves in the Teflon disc surfaces. The manganin gauges were in-between two 0.13 mm thick Teflon insulating sheets. These gauge packages were between the Teflon acceptor discs.

Carbon resistor gauges have been successfully used in two-dimensional shock wave experiments where time resolution was sacrificed for survival of the gauge.⁴⁻⁷ The constant current power supply for the carbon resistor gauges are always on passing about 18 mA through the 470 ohm resistors. The constant current pulse power supply for the manganin gauges is the Dynasen CK2-50/0.050-300.

Manganin gauges have been used successfully in numerous one-dimensional strain experiments⁹ It has also been shown to be temperature insensitive.¹⁰⁻¹¹ The calibration of this gauge is discussed by numerous papers in the literature but only a few are selected¹²⁻¹⁶ here for reference.




Figure 2. Heater/thermocouple and gauge arrangements

For TEXT IV the thermocouples in the heater package went to 170° C at a rate of 5.7° C a minute. The controllers then allowed the heaters to overshoot to 185°C for 7 minutes and then brought the temperature back to 170° C after 28 more minutes. The heating rate at the steel cover plate then went to 1° C/min until the temperature at the steel plate reached 210° C and the PBX 9501 inside the case went off.

Experiment TEXT V was a thermal simulation experiment with the Teflon discs inside the steel case replacing the PBX 9501 discs. Thermocouples were at a number of interfaces in this mock donor system. The same heating procedure of this inert donor system was done. The thermal traces are not reported here but can be used to calibrate a thermal code which can then provide the time and spatial history of the heated PBX 9501 donor. Recall that no thermocouples were used inside the donor explosives for these experiments. This data is not presented in this paper.

Experiment TEXT VI is shown in Fig. 3 and was similar to the TEXT IV except the acceptor cylinder was PBX 9501 and more gauges were used. Both manganin and carbon resistor gauges were placed at different depths in the PBX 9501 cylinder acceptor. A 10 mm

thick Teflon disc is placed between the steel top plate of the confined donor system and the acceptor to provide thermal insulation for the acceptor charge. This insures that the acceptor does not cook-off. A second benefit is to keep the temperature down on the carbon resistor gauges since they are temperature sensitive and no calibration exists for this gauge at temperature. Note that manganin pressure gauges are not temperature sensitive.¹⁰⁻¹¹

Thermal Explosion Experiment PBX-9501 TEXT-6




Figure 3. Schematic for TEXT VI thermal explosion experiment

The donor charge system and the PZT pin arrangement on TEXT VI is basically the same as described for TEXT IV experiment. The one difference on the PZT pin set-up is to add thermocouples and manganin gauges between the two 20 mm thick Teflon discs holding the PZT pins in place. The two triggering systems of PZT pins and break wires were the same as described for TEXT IV.

RESULTS

EXPERIMENT TEXT IV

A high pressure ramp wave was measured in TEXT IV by carbon resistor gauges with peak pressure near 4.3 kb at 19 mm distance and 3.5 kb at 25 mm distance in the Teflon. These gauge records are shown in Figure 4. The manganin records were set at too short of recording time so only the early part of the ramp wave was seen and not worth showing here. These short recording times for manganin were chosen for this first experiment in case a constant volume thermal explosion occurred in the donor which would have resulted in pressures of approximately 1/2 the CJ pressure of PBX 9501.





Figure 4. Carbon resistor gauge records for TEXT IV experiment.

The wave arrival times at the PZT pins are shown in Fig. 5. The analysis of pin arrival times with their location also indicates that the reaction started slightly off center. The phase velocity across the steel surface where the PZT pins were located gave a velocity of about $1 \text{ mm}/\mu\text{s}$. This slow phase velocity shows that a burning reaction occurred and not a true constant volume thermal explosion.




Figure 5. PZT pin records for TEXT IV

EXPERIMENT TEXT VI

Figure 6 gives the temperature time profiles for the five thermocouples that behaved well for TEXT VI. Their locations are given in Fig. 3. These show that rapid explosion occurred when the thermocouples at the metal surface of the donor system reached 209 C. The initial heating rate was 5.7°C per minute up to 170°C at the metal surface of the donor. Then the temperature at this surface was held at 170°C for 35 minutes to allow for the donor to be somewhat uniform in temperature. The temperatures in the acceptor did increase but at much lower rates. These temperatures are high enough that the carbon resistor gauge calibration will need to be done for this range of temperatures to improve the accuracy of these measurements.

The carbon resistor pressure gauge results (without temperature corrections) in Fig. 7 show that a ramp wave with peak pressure of 12 kb exists at the first gauge level in the acceptor. Some variation in gauge pressure exists for gauges on the same plane which is likely due to the ramp wave not being symmetric as it propagates into the acceptor. Variation between gauges is smaller than this observed difference of 2 kb at the first gauge station. The ramp pressure wave decays very rapidly as it moves up the acceptor charge and the rise time of the ramp shortens. This decay is faster than observed in the Teflon acceptor of TEXT IV consistent with PBX 9501 being a stiffer material with faster release wave speeds. It is clear that for TEXT VI the wave did not build into a detonation, which would be a more severe safety issue. The decay of the ramp wave peak pressure is given in Figure 8. The peak pressure decay is fitted accurately to an exponential function.

TEXT-VI Temperature Profile




Figure 6. Temperature profiles of various thermocouples at various locations in the TEXT VI target

The manganin foil gauge is sensitive to lateral strain. This makes this gauge mainly useful for one-dimensional strain experiments. For two-dimensional dynamic strain experiments, J. Charest¹⁷ has shown that the gauge analysis can correct the signals for small strains. This requires the use of a strain gauge in the experiment at the same location (or very close) to the manganin gauge element. Since the ramp wave did not build into a high pressure wave or a detonation, the manganin records were not much above the noise level of the digitizers. These records are of limited value and therefore not reported here.




Figure 7. Carbon resistor pressure gauge results for TEXT VI




Figure 8. Peak pressures of the carbon resistor gauges as a function of Lagrange distance

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

A multi-dimensional ramp pressure wave is transmitted to the acceptor materials (Teflon or PBX 9501) from an explosive deflagration cook-off of a confined PBX 9501 donor system with a peak pressure of around 12 kb. This ramp wave's peak pressure decays rapidly while the rise time of the ramp decreases. These pressures are substantial and will scatter

burning materials around significantly but for these experimental conditions build-up to detonation in the acceptor does not occur.

Future work on this area will include additional experiments with different heating rates and confinement. In addition, some future experiments will measure the velocity of the steel cover plate as a function of travel to see if a sympathetic detonation in a neighboring explosive device with a reasonable stand off is possible. Note that a ramp wave such as seen in these experiments will accelerate the cover plate of the donor system in a manner similar to the acceleration of a projectile by a powder gun. Strain gauges will be added to the manganin gauge package for future experiments to get pressure corrected for lateral strain. Temporal resolution of this gauge package with strain gauges will be less than 100 μ s. A thermal and hydrodynamic coupled code ALE 3D will be used to model the results of these and future experiments.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Jerry Dow obtained the funding for us to do this work. Pat McMaster and Gary Steinhour assisted on the experiments. Douglas Tasker (LANL) and William Wilson (Eglin AFB) are acknowledged for sharing their information on the use of carbon resistor gauge. The carbon resistor gauge constant current power supply was designed by Douglas Tasker.

*This work was performed under the auspices of the United States Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract N0. W-7405-ENG-48.

REFERENCES

1. Landers, A. and Brill, T., "Pressure-Temperature Dependence of the $\beta \rightarrow \delta$ Polymorphinterconversion in HMX,," J. Phys. Chem., 84, pp. 3573-3577 (1980).
2. Herrman, M., Endel, W., and Eisenreich, N., "Phase Transition of HMX and their Significance for the Sensitivity of Explosives," Zeitschrift fur Kristallographie 204, pp. 121-128 (1993).
3. Henson, B. F., Asay, B. W., Sander, R. K., Son, S. F., Robinson, J. M., Dickson, P., M., "Dynamic Measurement of the HMX $\beta \rightarrow \delta$ Phase Transition by Second Harmonic Generation," Phys. Rev. Ltrs, 82, No. 6, pp. 1213-1216, (1999).
4. Ginsberg, Michael J., and Asay, Blaine W., "Commercial Carbon Composition Resistors as Dynamic Stress Gauges in Difficult Environments," Rev. Sci. Instrum. 62 (9): 2218-2227 (1991).
6. Wilson, W. H., "Experimental Study of Reaction and Stress Growth in Projectile-Impacted Explosives," Shock Compression of Condensed Matter-1991, eds. Schmidt, Dick, Forbes, and Tasker, Elsevier Science Publishers, pp. 671-674 (1992).
7. Austing, J. L., Tulis, A. J., Hrdina, D. J., and Baker, D. E., "Carbon Resistor Gauges for Measuring Shock and Detonation Pressures I. Principles of Functioning and Calibration, Propellants, Explosives, Pyrotechnics 16, pp. 205-215 (1991).
8. Forbes, J. W., Tarver, C. M., Chidester, S. K., Garcia, F., Greenwood, D. W., Garza, R., "Measurement of Low Level Explosives Reaction in the Two-Dimensional Steven Impact Test" 19th Propulsion Systems Hazards Subcommittee (PSHS) Meeting, Monterey, CA. 13-17 November (2000)
9. Urtiew, P. A., Forbes, J. W., "Experimental Study of Low Amplitude, Long-Duration Mechanical Loading of Reactive Materials", 19th Propulsion Systems Hazards Subcommittee (PSHS) Meeting, Monterey, CA. 13-17 November (2000).
10. Bernstein, D. and Keough, D.D., "Piezoresistivity of Manganin," J. Appl. Phys, 35, No. 5, pp. 1471-1474 (1964).
11. Urtiew, P.A., Forbes, J.W., Tarver, C.M. and Garcia, F., "Calibration of Manganin Gauges at 250°C", Shock Compression in Condensed Matter, Furnish, M.D., Chhabildas, L.C. and Hixson, R.S. eds., AIP Press, New York, pp. 1019 – 1022 (1999).
12. Gupta, Y.M., " Analysis of Manganin and Ytterbium Gauge under Shock Loading", J. Appl. Phys., 54 (11), pp. 6094-6098, Nov. (1983).

13. Gupta, S. C., and Gupta, Y. M., "Experimental Measurements and Analysis of the Loading and Unloading Response of Longitudinal and Lateral Gauges Shocked to 90 kbar", J. Appl. Phys., 62 (7), p. 2603, Oct. (1987).
14. Vantine, H.C., Erickson, L.M. and Janzen, J., "Hysteresis-Corrected Calibration of Manganin under Shock Loading", J. Appl. Phys., 51 (4), April (1980).
15. Vantine H., Chan J., Erickson L. M., Janzen J., Lee R. and Weingart R. C., "Precision Stress Measurements in Severe Shock-Wave Environments with Low Impedance Manganin Gauges," Rev. Sci. Instr., 51, pp. 116-122 (1980).
16. Gupta, Y. M., "Analysis of Manganin and Ytterbium Gauge Data under Shock Loading," J. App. Phys., 54 (11): 6094-6098 (1983).
17. Charest, J. A., "Development of a Strain Compensated Shock Pressure Gauge, Dynasen Inc. Goleta, CA., TR-001 (1976).