



LAWRENCE
LIVERMORE
NATIONAL
LABORATORY

Erratum: "Orbital Advection by Interpolation: A Fast and Accurate Numerical Scheme for Super-Fast MHD Flows" (ApJ, 177, 373 [2008])

B. M. Johnson, X. Guan, C. F. Gammie

June 26, 2008

Astrophysical Journal Supplement

Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, nor any of their employees makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Erratum: “Orbital Advection by Interpolation: A Fast and Accurate Numerical Scheme for Super-Fast MHD Flows” (ApJ, 177, 373 [2008])

Bryan M. Johnson¹, Xiaoyue Guan and Charles F. Gammie

Center for Theoretical Astrophysics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1110 West Green St., Urbana, IL 61801

The descriptions of some of the numerical tests in our original paper are incomplete, making reproduction of the results difficult. We provide the missing details here. The relevant tests are described in §4 of the original paper (Figures 8-11).

We use the analytical solutions outlined by Johnson (ApJ, 660, 1375 [2007]) as the initial conditions for these tests. The incompressible solution is given by the real parts of expressions (80)-(82) of that paper. For imaginary ω and $\tilde{\omega}$ and a Keplerian rotation profile, these are

$$\delta\mathbf{v} = \delta\tilde{\mathbf{v}} \cos\left(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \quad (1)$$

and

$$\delta\mathbf{v}_A = \delta\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_A \cos\left(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right), \quad (2)$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = \mathcal{A}_i \left(k_x^2 - k^2, k_x k_y - \frac{k^2}{2\alpha}, k_x k_z + \frac{k^2 k_y}{2\alpha k_z} \right) \quad (3)$$

and

$$\delta\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_A = -\frac{\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{k}}{|\omega|} \mathcal{A}_i \left(k_x^2 - k^2, k_x k_y + 2\alpha k_z^2, k_x k_z - 2\alpha k_y k_z \right), \quad (4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \epsilon c_s H \frac{|\tilde{\omega}|}{\Omega} \sqrt{\frac{|\omega| \Omega}{2|\tilde{\omega}|^2 k^2 + \Omega^2 k_z^2}} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\alpha = \frac{\Omega |\omega|}{|\tilde{\omega}|^2}. \quad (6)$$

Here $H = c_s/\Omega$ is the disk scale height, \mathbf{k} is the initial wave number of the perturbation and ϵ is an arbitrary perturbation amplitude; other symbols have their usual meanings. These

¹Current address: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, L-413, 7000 East Avenue, Livermore, CA 94550-9698

solutions have been normalized to the correct dimensional units.² The density perturbation is given by

$$\frac{\delta\rho}{\rho_0} = \left(-\frac{\mathbf{v}_A}{c_s} \cdot \frac{\delta\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_A}{c_s} + \frac{2\Omega}{c_s k} \left[\frac{k_x}{k} \hat{\mathbf{y}} + \frac{k_y}{2k} \hat{\mathbf{x}} \right] \cdot \frac{\delta\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}{c_s} \right) \cos\left(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right). \quad (7)$$

The unstable branch of the incompressive dispersion relation is

$$|\tilde{\omega}^2| = \left(\frac{k_z \Omega}{k}\right)^2 \left(\sqrt{1 + \left[\frac{4k\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{k}}{k_z \Omega}\right]^2} - 1 \right) \quad (8)$$

and

$$|\omega| = \sqrt{|\tilde{\omega}^2| - (\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{k})^2}. \quad (9)$$

For our choice of initial parameters, $\mathbf{v}_A = \sqrt{15/16}(\Omega/k_z)\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ and $H\mathbf{k} = 2\pi(-2/10, 1/10, 1)$, these become

$$|\tilde{\omega}^2| = \Omega^2 \frac{5}{21} \left(\sqrt{67} - 2 \right) \simeq 1.47\Omega^2 \quad (10)$$

and

$$|\omega| = \Omega \sqrt{\frac{5}{21}} \left(\sqrt{67} - \frac{95}{16} \right)^{1/2} \simeq 0.732\Omega. \quad (11)$$

The perturbations in this limit are given by

$$\delta\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = -\frac{\mathcal{A}_i}{H^2} (2\pi)^2 \left(\frac{101}{100}, \frac{1}{50} + \frac{21}{40\alpha}, \frac{1}{5} - \frac{21}{400\alpha} \right) \quad (12)$$

and

$$\delta\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_A = \sqrt{\frac{15}{16}} \frac{\Omega}{|\omega|} \frac{\mathcal{A}_i}{H^2} (2\pi)^2 \left(\frac{101}{100}, \frac{1}{50} - 2\alpha, \frac{1}{5} + \frac{\alpha}{5} \right), \quad (13)$$

with

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}_i}{H^2} = \epsilon c_s \frac{|\omega|}{2\pi\Omega} \left(\frac{2}{\alpha\sqrt{67}} \right)^{1/2} \quad (14)$$

and

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{21} (\sqrt{67} - 95/16)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{5} (\sqrt{67} - 2)} \simeq 0.497. \quad (15)$$

Dividing through by an overall factor of $H^2(k_x^2 - k^2) = -(2\pi)^2(101/100)$ gives the initial conditions quoted in our original paper (with $\epsilon = 10^{-6}$).

²We set $4\pi\rho_0 = 1$ in our original paper, so that the magnetic field is equivalent to the Alfvén velocity.

We make comparisons based upon the amplitude of the solution, i.e. $\delta\tilde{\mathbf{v}}$ and $\delta\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_A$ rather than $\delta\mathbf{v}$ and $\delta\mathbf{v}_A$. In Figures 8-10 of our original paper, then, the quantity that is being plotted is $\delta\tilde{\mathbf{v}}^2 + \delta\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_A^2$. To extract these quantities from the code we calculate departures from the mean, multiply by the appropriate cosine factor in expressions (1) and (2) (where \mathbf{k} in this case is the time-dependent wave number) and integrate over the computational domain. This is done at each time step to give a time history of the perturbation amplitudes, which are then compared to the analytical solution. As a concrete example,

$$\left(\delta\tilde{v}_x[t]\right)_{numerical} = \frac{2}{L_x L_y L_z} \sum_{i=1}^{N_x} \sum_{j=1}^{N_y} \sum_{k=1}^{N_z} v x_{ijk}^n \cos\left(\mathbf{k}[t^n] \cdot \mathbf{x}_{ijk} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right), \quad (16)$$

where $v x_{ijk}^n$ is the radial velocity component at grid location (i, j, k) and time step n . Since the solution as expressed above breaks down as ω transitions from imaginary to real, we calculate the analytical amplitudes for the incompressive tests based upon an integration of the full set of linear equations.

The compressive solution is given by the real part of expressions (83)-(85) of Johnson (ApJ, 660, 1375 [2007]):

$$(\delta\mathbf{v}, \delta\mathbf{v}_A, \delta\rho) = \left(\delta\tilde{\mathbf{v}}, \delta\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_A, \delta\tilde{\rho}\right) \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}), \quad (17)$$

with

$$\delta\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{\omega}{\tilde{\omega}} \mathcal{A}_c \left(\frac{\omega^2}{k^2} \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{k} \mathbf{v}_A \right), \quad (18)$$

$$\delta\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_A = \frac{\omega^2}{\tilde{\omega}} \mathcal{A}_c \left(\mathbf{v}_A - \frac{\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{k}}{k^2} \mathbf{k} \right), \quad (19)$$

and

$$\frac{\delta\tilde{\rho}}{\rho_0} = \tilde{\omega} \mathcal{A}_c, \quad (20)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_c = \epsilon H k \sqrt{\frac{\omega \Omega}{\omega^4 - (\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{k})^2 c_s^2 k^2}}. \quad (21)$$

Our choice of initial parameters for this test, $\mathbf{v}_A = c_s(0.1, 0.2, 0.0)$ and $H\mathbf{k} = 4\pi(-2, 1, 1)$, gives $\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{k} = 0$, so that the nonzero solution to the compressive dispersion relation is

$$\omega^2 = (c_s^2 + v_A^2) k^2. \quad (22)$$

The perturbations in this limit are given by

$$\left(\delta\tilde{\mathbf{v}}, \delta\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_A, \delta\tilde{\rho}/\rho_0\right) = \left(v_A \sqrt{1 + \beta} \hat{\mathbf{k}}, \mathbf{v}_A, 1\right) \left(Hk \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{1 + \beta}}\right)^{1/2}, \quad (23)$$

where $\beta = c_s/v_A$. For our initial conditions ($\beta = 20$), this is

$$\left(\delta\tilde{\mathbf{v}}, \delta\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_A, \delta\tilde{\rho}/\rho_0\right) = \left(\frac{c_s}{2}\sqrt{\frac{7}{10}}\frac{H\mathbf{k}}{4\pi}, \mathbf{v}_A, 1\right) \left(8\pi\sqrt{\frac{10}{7}}\right)^{1/2}, \quad (24)$$

which matches the numbers given in our original paper with $\epsilon = 10^{-6}$.

Figure 11 of our original paper shows the evolution of the azimuthal component of $\delta\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_A$. The numerical results are

$$\left(\delta\tilde{v}_{Ay}[t]\right)_{numerical} = \frac{2}{L_x L_y L_z} \sum_{i=1}^{N_x} \sum_{j=1}^{N_y} \sum_{k=1}^{N_z} \left(\frac{by_{ijk}^n}{\sqrt{4\pi\rho_0}} - v_{Ay}[t^n]\right) \cos(\mathbf{k}[t^n] \cdot \mathbf{x}_{ijk}). \quad (25)$$

and the analytical results are calculated within the code using the time dependent version of expression (24), i.e.

$$\left(\delta\tilde{v}_{Ay}[t]\right)_{analytical} = v_{Ay}[t^n] \left(8\pi\sqrt{\frac{10}{7}}\right)^{1/2} \cos\left(\sum_{n'=0}^n \omega[t^{n'}] dt^{n'}\right), \quad (26)$$

with $dt^0 = 0$.

As a final practical consideration, implementing the solutions as described above can introduce divergence into the initial conditions. To avoid this, we calculate the vector potential in the Coulomb gauge ($\mathbf{k} \cdot \delta\mathbf{A} = 0$) for the above solutions and numerically calculate its curl to obtain the initial magnetic field perturbation. The perturbed vector potential is

$$\frac{\delta\mathbf{A}}{\sqrt{4\pi\rho_0}} = -\frac{\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \mathbf{k}}{|\omega|} \mathcal{A}_i k_z \left(2\alpha \left[\frac{k_x^2}{k^2} - 1\right], 2\alpha \frac{k_x k_y}{k^2} - 1, 2\alpha \frac{k_x k_z}{k^2} + \frac{k_y}{k_z}\right) \cos\left(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \quad (27)$$

for the incompressible solution and

$$\frac{\delta\mathbf{A}}{\sqrt{4\pi\rho_0}} = \frac{\omega^2 \mathbf{v}_A \times \mathbf{k}}{\tilde{\omega} k^2} \mathcal{A}_c \sin(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \quad (28)$$

for the compressive solution. For our initial conditions, these reduce to

$$\frac{\delta\mathbf{A}}{\sqrt{4\pi\rho_0}} = \frac{\epsilon c_s H}{14} \left(\frac{1}{30\alpha\sqrt{67}}\right)^{1/2} \left(202\alpha, 4\alpha + 105, 40\alpha - \frac{21}{2}\right) \cos\left(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \quad (29)$$

for the incompressible solution (with α given by expression [15]), and

$$\frac{\delta\mathbf{A}}{\sqrt{4\pi\rho_0}} = \epsilon \frac{c_s H}{60} \left(\frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{14}}\sqrt{5}\right)^{1/2} (2, -1, 5) \sin(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \quad (30)$$

for the compressive solution.

This work was performed under the auspices of Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, (LLNS) under Contract No. DE-AC52-07NA27344. This work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.