



LAWRENCE
LIVERMORE
NATIONAL
LABORATORY

Thomson Scattering on Inhomogeneous Targets

R. Thiele, P. Sperling, M. Chen, T. Bornath, R. R.
Faustlin, C. Fortmann, S. H. Glenzer, W. D. Kraeft, A.
Pukhov, S. Toileikis, T. Tschentscher, R. Redmer

May 13, 2010

Plasma Physics

Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, nor any of their employees makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Thomson scattering on inhomogeneous targets

R. Thiele^{1,*}, P. Sperling¹, M. Chen^{2,3}, Th. Bornath¹, R.R. Fäustlin⁴, C. Fortmann^{5,6}, S.H. Glenzer⁶, W.-D. Kraeft¹, A. Pukhov³, S. Toleikis⁴, Th. Tschentscher⁷, and R. Redmer¹

¹*Institut für Physik, Universität Rostock, D-18051 Rostock, Germany*

²*Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, MS 71-259, Berkeley CA 94720, USA*

³*Institut für Theoretische Physik I, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, D-40225 Düsseldorf, Germany*

⁴*DESY, Notkestraße 85, D-22607 Hamburg, Germany*

⁵*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles CA 90095, USA*

⁶*Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, P.O. Box 808, L-399, Livermore CA 94551, USA and*

⁷*European XFEL GmbH, Albert-Einstein-Ring 19, D-22761 Hamburg, Germany*

(Dated: May 7, 2010)

The introduction of brilliant free electron lasers enables new pump-probe experiments to characterize warm dense matter states. For instance, a short-pulse optical laser irradiates a liquid hydrogen jet that is subsequently probed with brilliant soft X-ray radiation. The strongly inhomogeneous plasma prepared by the optical laser is characterized with particle-in-cell simulations. The interaction of the soft X-ray probe radiation for different time delays between pump and probe with the inhomogeneous plasma is also taken into account via radiative hydrodynamic simulations. We calculate the respective scattering spectrum based on the Born-Mermin approximation for the dynamic structure factor considering the full density and temperature dependent Thomson scattering cross section throughout the target. We can identify plasmon modes that are generated in different target regions and monitor their temporal evolution. Therefore, such pump-probe experiments are promising tools to measure not only the important plasma parameters density and temperature but also to gain valuable information about their time-dependent profile through the target. The method described here can be applied to various pump-probe scenarios by combining optical lasers, soft X-ray as well as X-ray sources.

PACS numbers: 52.25.Os, 52.35.Fp, 71.45.Gm, 71.10.Ca

Keywords: Warm dense matter; Plasma diagnostics; Thomson scattering; Plasmons

I. INTRODUCTION

X-ray Thomson scattering has demonstrated its capacity as a reliable and versatile tool for the diagnostics of dense plasmas [1]. X-rays emitted from laser produced plasmas [2, 3] can probe the warm dense matter (WDM) region [4, 5] with temperatures of several eV and densities close to solid density [6, 7] up to compressed matter well above solid density and electron temperatures T_e between 0.1 eV and several 10 eV [8–12]. These plasmas are opaque in the optical region since the frequency of light $\omega_0 = 2\pi c/\lambda_0$ is lower than the plasma frequency $\omega_{pe}^2 = n_e e^2/(\epsilon_0 m_e)$ of the free electron subsystem, with the free electron density n_e and the electron mass m_e . Therefore, probing plasmas with densities approaching solid or even higher densities requires efficient X-ray sources with high brightness which can be produced by energetic optical lasers [13]. Alternatively, the study of dense plasmas is now possible with the implementation of free-electron lasers which provide brilliant radiation in the soft X-ray region as at the Free electron LASer Hamburg (FLASH) at DESY, Hamburg [14], or in the X-ray region as at the Linac Coherent Light Source (LCLS) in Stanford [15], and at the future European XFEL in Hamburg [16].

Collective X-ray Thomson scattering experiments yield information on the density and temperature of dense plasmas [7]. Assuming a homogeneous density and temperature throughout the target, these parameters can be determined directly from the plasmon dispersion and the ratio of the plasmon amplitudes via the detailed balance relation [17]. In optical laser-plasma interaction, the target is overdense and the absorption is limited to the skin depth, in contrast to excitation by short-wavelength FEL radiation where gradients are smaller, see [18, 19]. The scattering signal represents an average of the local density- and temperature-dependent scattering cross sections weighted with the respective density and temperature profiles [20]. These profiles are generated by the pump pulse but also by the probe pulse if extremely intense X-ray radiation pulses are used as in the case of the FLASH and LCLS facilities. Therefore, a realistic description of the light-matter interaction within a pump-probe experiment is of paramount importance to derive reliable information on the plasma parameters density and temperature, their profiles throughout the target, and their temporal evolution.

The prospects of brilliant X-ray sources for probing solid-density plasmas have been shown earlier via calculations and theoretical analysis. For instance, the evolution of an aluminum plasma has been determined on ps time scales within a pump (optical short-pulse laser with $\lambda_0 = 1 \mu\text{m}$, $\tau = 500 \text{ fs}$, $E_0 = 1 \text{ J}$) – probe (soft X-ray source with $\lambda_0 = 14.7 \text{ nm}$, $\tau = 5 \text{ ps}$, $E_0 = 0.5 \text{ mJ}$)

*robert.thiele@uni-rostock.de

scenario by using standard expressions for the dynamic structure factor (DSF) for collisionless plasmas [20].

Self-Thomson scattering off liquid hydrogen targets, i.e. generating and probing the plasma simultaneously with only one ultra-short soft X-ray pulse, has been studied for conditions at FLASH ($\lambda_0 = 13.5$ nm, $\tau = 30$ fs, $E_0 = 0.05$ mJ) [21]. Collisions in the plasma were considered within the Born-Mermin approximation (BMA), only moderate density and temperature gradients were imposed by the FLASH laser due to the rather large absorption length of 11 μm for this wavelength [22].

In this paper we consider the interaction of both short 800 nm optical and 13.5 nm soft X-ray pulses with a liquid hydrogen target within a full pump-probe scenario as performed at FLASH [17, 21] with time delays of 1-10 ps. We apply particle-in-cell (PIC) as well as radiation-hydrodynamic codes for the laser-target interaction and consider collisions in the plasma via the BMA when calculating the DSF. Our results show that not only the important plasma parameters density and temperature but also information about their time-dependent profile through the target can be derived from the Thomson scattering signal.

Our paper is organized as follows. We define in Sec. II the Thomson scattering power via the DSF $S_{ee}(k, \omega)$ [23] that is a function of the scattering wave number k and the frequency shift ω , integrated with the density and temperature distribution of the target. The DSF is determined in the BMA for the dielectric function $\epsilon(k, \omega)$. The influence of plasma inhomogeneities in the target on the Thomson scattering signal is studied in Sec. III, and conclusions are given in Sec. IV.

II. THEORY OF THOMSON SCATTERING

A. Dynamic structure factor

We start with the experimentally available scattering power per solid angle $d\Omega = \sin\vartheta d\vartheta d\varphi$ and per unit frequency interval $d\omega$, which is described by the following expression [20]:

$$\frac{d^2 P_{sc}}{d\Omega d\omega} = \frac{\sigma_T}{A_{rad}} \frac{k_f}{k_i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega'}{2\pi} G_{\Delta\omega}(\omega - \omega') \times \int d^3\mathbf{r} l(\mathbf{r}) S_{ee}(\mathbf{k}, \omega'; n_e(\mathbf{r}), T_e(\mathbf{r})) n_i(\mathbf{r}). \quad (1)$$

Here, $\sigma_T = 6.65 \times 10^{-24}$ cm² is the Thomson scattering cross-section, k_i and k_f are the initial and final photon wave numbers, the energy and momentum transfer are given by $\Delta E = \hbar\omega = \hbar\omega_f - \hbar\omega_i$ and $\hbar\mathbf{k} = \hbar\mathbf{k}_f - \hbar\mathbf{k}_i$. The momentum is related to the scattering angle θ in the limit $\hbar\omega \ll \hbar\omega_0$ according to $k = 4\pi \sin(\theta/2)/\lambda_0$, with λ_0 being the probe wavelength. $l(\mathbf{r})$ is the \mathbf{r} -dependent power density of incoming photons which is also dependent on the absorption, $n_i(\mathbf{r})$ is the ion density, and A_{rad} is the irradiated surface of the target. The DSF has to

be convoluted with the instrumental function $G_{\Delta\omega}(\omega)$, that models the spectrometer's finite spectral resolution as well as the probe's spectral bandwidth. Usually, a normalized Gaussian distribution is employed with the full width at half maximum $\Delta\omega$. In this paper, the instrumental function is not considered. Furthermore, the DSF depends on the profiles of electron density $n_e(\mathbf{r})$ and temperature $T_e(\mathbf{r})$ throughout the target.

We follow Chihara's approach to the DSF [23, 24],

$$S_{ee}(k, \omega) = Z_f S_{ee}^0(k, \omega) + |f_i(k) + q(k)|^2 S_{ii}(k, \omega) + Z_c \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega' S_c(k, \omega) S_s(k, \omega - \omega'), \quad (2)$$

which is decomposed into contributions of free electrons, weakly and tightly bound electrons, and core electrons. In this paper, the DSF of free electrons is considered, i.e. the first term. The calculation of this part can be found in Sec. II B. The second part of Eq. (2) gives us the correlation of the weakly and bound electrons following the ion motion. The amplitude is determined by the atomic form factor $f_i(k)$ and the screening cloud $q(k)$ of quasi-free electrons which screen the ion charge [25].

The third term contains the contribution of core electrons via $S_c(k, \omega)$ and describes Raman-type transitions of inner shell electrons to the continuum, modulated by the ion movement which is contained in $S_s(k, \omega)$ [26]. The last term in Eq. (2) can be neglected for the hydrogen plasma studied here [17].

The scattering wave number k is fixed through the geometry of the experimental setup so that a scattering parameter $\alpha = \kappa/k$ can be introduced [27] that allows to discriminate between collective ($\alpha > 1$) and non-collective ($\alpha < 1$) scattering; κ is the density and temperature dependent screening parameter, see [1] for details. For $\alpha < 1$, we can investigate short-range correlations within the Debye sphere [13], while long-range correlations are relevant for collective scattering, i.e. $\alpha > 1$.

In the latter case, the DSF exhibits two pronounced side maxima, symmetrically red and blue shifted with respect to the quasi-elastic Rayleigh peak which is related to the second term in Eq. (2). These features are collective electron plasma oscillations (plasmons) [7]. To first order, their position is given by the electron plasma frequency ω_{pe} which allows to determine the electron density n_e . Thermal pressure corrections and quantum diffraction effects lead to a k -dependence in the plasmon dispersion, see e.g. [28].

B. Born-Mermin approximation

We focus on the first term in Eq. (2), the free electron part. In thermodynamic equilibrium, $S_{ee}^0(k, \omega)$ is related to the longitudinal dielectric function $\epsilon(k, \omega)$ via the fluctuation-dissipation theorem

$$S_{ee}^0(k, \omega) = -\frac{\epsilon_0 \hbar k^2}{\pi e^2 n_e} \frac{\text{Im} \epsilon^{-1}(k, \omega)}{1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\hbar\omega}{k_B T_e}\right)}. \quad (3)$$

Neglecting collisions as in Ref. [20], the dielectric function is given by the well-known random phase approximation (RPA), see [1, 17] for details.

To go beyond the RPA, we follow Mermin's approach [29] which considers collisions within a Drude-like ansatz with a constant collision frequency ν for the damping of the frequency-dependent dielectric function. Applying linear response theory, the Mermin dielectric function can be generalized to include a dynamic collision frequency $\nu(\omega)$ according to [30]

$$\epsilon^M(k, \omega) = 1 + \frac{\left(1 + i\frac{\nu(\omega)}{\omega}\right) [\epsilon^{\text{RPA}}(k, \omega + i\nu(\omega)) - 1]}{1 + i\frac{\nu(\omega)}{\omega} \frac{\epsilon^{\text{RPA}}(k, \omega + i\nu(\omega)) - 1}{\epsilon^{\text{RPA}}(k, 0) - 1}}. \quad (4)$$

We evaluate the collision frequency in Born approximation with respect to a statically screened (Debye) potential $V_D(q) = -Ze^2/(\epsilon_0\Omega_0(q^2 + \kappa_D^2))$ [17, 31, 32],

$$\nu^{\text{Born}}(\omega) = -i \frac{\epsilon_0 n_i \Omega_0^2}{6\pi^2 e^2 n_e m_e \omega} \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\infty dq q^6 V_D^2(q) S_i(q) \times [\epsilon^{\text{RPA}}(q, \omega) - \epsilon^{\text{RPA}}(q, 0)]. \quad (5)$$

$S_i(q)$ is the static ion-ion structure factor and $\kappa_D^2 = n_e e^2/(\epsilon_0 k_B T_e)$ the inverse (Debye) screening length.

The influence of collisions has been discussed in several papers, see [33–35]. Here, we calculate $S_{ee}^0(k, \omega)$ in BMA and focus on the impact of density and temperature profiles on the scattering signal.

III. PUMP-PROBE SCENARIO

In this section, we describe the interaction of an optical pump laser with a cold hydrogen target by means of large-scale PIC simulations, and the interaction of this strongly inhomogeneous plasma with the soft X-ray probe radiation via radiative hydrodynamic simulations. We calculate the resulting Thomson scattering spectrum and derive the respective plasma parameters.

A. Density and temperature profiles impressed by a short-pulse optical laser

The electron density and temperature profiles in spherical hydrogen droplets are obtained from three-dimensional PIC simulations using the code VLPL3D [36] including fully relativistic ionization [37]. The linearly polarized laser pulse of wavelength $\lambda_0 = 800$ nm, $t = 150$ fs duration and $E = 1.6$ mJ energy is incident from the left with a focal radius of $r_F = 20$ μm , see Fig. 1. These parameters are available at FLASH. The cubic simulation box has a box length of 33.6 μm and is divided into $2100(x) \times 140(y) \times 140(z)$ cells. We use 252 processors to perform the calculations, which is close to the upper limit of our current computational capacity. The




FIG. 1. (Color online) An optical laser irradiates liquid hydrogen droplets from left. The mesh for the PIC simulation (columns and rows) and regions within the droplet (equatorial, polar, bulk) are defined, see also Sec. III B and Sec. III D.

initial density of the spherical liquid hydrogen target with $r_H = 15$ μm is $\rho_H = 0.086$ g/cm³ as used in experiment [21]. The target is initially located in the center of the simulation box. The computer capacity currently allows to run the code for a simulation time of 1 ps.

The resulting spatial electron density and temperature distributions in the x-y plane are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3. Here, we use now a $50(x) \times 10(y)$ grid for the evaluation of the electron temperature, see Fig. 1. The cells (0.67 $\mu\text{m} \times 1.68$ μm) in z-direction are integrated for each cell in the x-y plane. Due to the symmetry, only half of the target is shown.

The PIC simulations indicate that the laser generates a strongly inhomogeneous plasma in the droplet with high ionization in a thin layer of $5\lambda_0 \approx 4$ μm and weak ionization in the bulk. The maximum free electron density is induced in the equatorial region with values up to about $n_{max} = 100 n_{cr} \approx 10^{23}$ cm⁻³. The free electron densities in the polar regions of the droplet are still well above the critical density, while the bulk region is only weakly ionized and rather cold. The critical density is defined as

$$n_{cr} = \frac{4\pi^2 c^2 \epsilon_0 m_e}{\lambda_0^2 e^2}. \quad (6)$$

For a wavelength of $\lambda_0 = 800$ nm, it amounts $n_{cr} = 1.7 \times 10^{21}$ cm⁻³. The optical laser heats the equatorial region strongest, see Fig. 3, and the maximum electron temperature is about 9 eV. Only few super thermal




FIG. 2. (Color online) Free electron density profile $n_e(x, y)$ in units of n_{cr} (logarithmic scale, color coded) as derived from the PIC simulations, see also Sec. III A. The linearly polarized laser pulse of 800 nm wavelength and 150 fs pulse length is incident from the left.




FIG. 3. (Color online) Temperature profile of free electrons $T_e(r)$ as derived from the PIC simulations, see also Fig. 2.

electrons accelerated by the laser pulse have been transported into the target. Behind the thin high-density layer of about 4-5 cells thickness ($\approx 4 \mu\text{m}$), the density decreases rapidly by three orders of magnitude and drops only slightly in the following region up to the rear side of the droplet. The temperature decreases from about 9 eV to 1 eV less strongly through the droplet.

B. “Ideal” probe Thomson scattering

In the next step, we calculate the DSF $S_{ee}^0(k, \omega) \times \omega_{pe}^2$, see Eq. (1) and Eq. (2), for a scattering angle of $\theta_S = 90^\circ$ for each cell with the free electron densities and temperatures as obtained from the PIC simulations, altogether




FIG. 4. Integrated Thomson scattering spectrum of a liquid hydrogen droplet for row 1 (solid line) and row 9 (broken line) as a function of the photon energy shift ΔE , see Fig. 1.

336 cells with densities above 10^{18} cm^{-3} . Each cell is weighted by the factor $\exp(-r/l_{abs})$, with the global absorption length of $l_{abs} = 11 \mu\text{m}$ that was used also in [22]. In this way we consider the weakening of the incoming FLASH probe pulse with increasing target depth. The internal feedback of the soft X-ray photons onto the pre-heated target however is neglected which would lead to further ionization and heating, see Sec. III C. Therefore, we denote this process as “ideal” probe. The parameters of the FLASH pulse are $\lambda_0 = 13.5 \text{ nm}$, $\tau = 30 \text{ fs}$, and $E_0 = 0.05 \text{ mJ}$, see [22].

In Fig. 4, we show the respective integrated Thomson scattering signal as function of the photon energy shift ΔE with respect to the FLASH photon energy of $E = 92 \text{ eV}$ for two typical rows, i.e. integrating the contributions of all cells in that row, see Fig. 1. The calculation for row 1 (solid line) is typical for the central part of the droplet, i.e. rows 1-6. The peaks stem from two different scattering regions in the droplet. Collective scattering ($\alpha > 1$) is relevant for the high plasma densities in the equatorial region that yields the asymmetric plasmon feature. The remaining contributions are due to non-collective scattering from the cells with lower densities which leads to the central peak. Row 9 (broken line) is exemplary for rows 7-9 in the polar region and shows a strong collective scattering signal. The electron densities and temperatures are nearly constant or decrease only slowly in these rows, see also Fig. 2 and Fig. 3.

The contributions of each row and the resulting total scattering signal are shown in Fig. 5 as function of the photon energy shift ΔE . The high energy peaks at $|\Delta E| = 8.5 \text{ eV}$ stem from the equatorial region of the droplet. We derive an effective electron density of $n_e = 5.3 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ from the plasmon resonance and an effective temperature of $T_e = 7.6 \text{ eV}$ from the de-




FIG. 5. (Color online) Total Thomson scattering spectrum divided by 10 (bold red line) for the whole liquid hydrogen droplet as function of the photon energy shift ΔE in comparison with the contributions of each row (black lines).

tailed balance relation; the respective mean temperature, the averaging of the corresponding cells of the equatorial region, $\bar{T}_e \approx 8.3$ eV, differs by less than 10% from this effective value. The second plasmon peaks at $|\Delta E| = 5.4$ eV are related to collective scattering from cells in the polar region of the droplet; an effective electron density of $n_e = 2.1 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and an effective electron temperature of $T_e = 3.9$ eV is found. The remaining bulk part of the droplet with a mean electron density of $\bar{n}_e \approx 5 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and an electron temperature of $\bar{T}_e \approx 2$ eV yields the central peak in Fig. 5 which is due to non-collective scattering events. The detailed balance and the dispersion relation is not suitable here. Note, that this is not the Rayleigh peak because elastic scattering off strongly bound electrons as described by the second term in Eq. (2) is not evaluated here.

C. Absorption of the soft X-ray probe photons

So far, we have calculated the spectrum of FLASH photons scattered off the inhomogeneous hydrogen droplet that was prepared by the optical laser. Absorption processes of FLASH photons in addition to Thomson scattering were neglected so that Fig. 5 represents an “ideal” spectrum. We have treated self-Thomson scattering of FLASH photons in an earlier work, i.e. a restricted process without pumping the target with an optical laser but considering the full interaction of the soft X-ray photons with the target via radiation-hydrodynamic simulations [22]. Moderate density and temperature gradients have been observed in the hydrogen droplet, in contrast to the present case where the optical laser generates strong gradients. The respective averaged spectrum




FIG. 6. (Color online) Density of free electrons $n_e(x, y)$ in units of the critical density n_{cr} of the optical laser (logarithmic scale, color coded) as derived from the HELIOS simulations. The FLASH pulse irradiates the droplet from the left 10 ps after the optical laser, see also Sec. III C.

has lead to effective values of temperature and density that were comparable with the averaged properties of the droplet.

We consider now the full pump-probe scenario by accounting for various absorption mechanisms in the target besides Thomson scattering. The PIC code cannot be applied for the probe pulse since the wavelength and sample size differ by many orders of magnitude. About 2×10^5 more simulation cells would be required compared to the optical laser case – well beyond the available computing capacity. Thus, we use instead the one-dimensional radiative hydrodynamic code HELIOS [38] for the interaction of the FLASH pulse ($\lambda_0 = 13.5$ nm, $\tau = 30$ fs, and $E_0 = 0.05$ mJ) with the inhomogeneous hydrogen plasma. This code contains a Lagrangian reference frame, separated ion and electron temperatures, and flux-limited Spitzer thermal conductivity. Laser energy is deposited via inverse bremsstrahlung as well as bound-bound and bound-free transitions using a SESAME-like equation of state.

To simulate, in addition, time scales larger 1 ps, we transfer the results of the PIC simulation to the radiative hydrodynamics code HELIOS. The sample is in a non-equilibrium state at the maximum PIC simulation time (1 ps, see Fig. 2 and Fig. 3). However, HELIOS assumes start conditions in local thermal equilibrium (LTE). To bridge this gap, we calculate the sample’s LTE temperature from the free electron density after 1 ps (PIC results) using Saha equations [39, 40]. The resulting approximation to the LTE temperature is used as a start condition for the HELIOS simulations. Uncertainties in the sample temperature become relatively large and the LTE temperature deviates from the PIC results up to a factor three in the polar region. However, this procedure allows us to span the fs (PIC) to ns (HELIOS) time scales while

maintaining fairly high accuracy in terms of electron density.

The sample evolution is simulated using HELIOS from 1-10 ps after heating with the optical laser. At fixed time delays of 1, 7.5, and 10 ps, the FEL probe pulse is impinging on the sample and its interaction is modeled using HELIOS. The FEL irradiation increases the free electron density by up to two orders of magnitude in the sample's bulk region. At the same time, the density increases only marginally in the equatorial and polar region which is already close to full ionization, see Fig. 6. Note that the FEL radiation penetrates deeply into the sample since the plasma density on the surface is well below the critical density for this short wavelength radiation. Overall, the sample average temperature increases by about 10% due to the FEL probe irradiation.

The next step is the calculation of the scattering spectrum for several time delays (1, 7.5, and 10 ps) for which we use now the sample density as derived from the HELIOS simulation. To minimize uncertainties from the PIC to HELIOS conversion, we use the relative temperature effect of the FEL radiation, i.e. we use the PIC temperature profile at 1 ps increased by 10% due to FEL heating. In this way, other temperature effects within the calculated 10 ps such as hydrodynamic expansion are reduced by neglecting the PIC code particle velocities. This is justified since the cooling times for these effects are large compared to our simulation time.

The present treatment combines state-of-the-art PIC and hydrodynamic simulations in order to span several temporal orders of magnitude. Although simplifying assumptions have to be made in order to convert the results, realistic density profiles throughout the sample are obtained, see Fig. 6 for the case of 10 ps.

D. Thomson scattering signal for the full pump-probe scenario

We have calculated the Thomson scattering spectrum according to Sec. IIIB for the density profiles derived from the HELIOS simulations as shown in Fig. 6 and the temperature profiles of the PIC simulation increased by 10% throughout the target, see Fig. 3. We show the respective results for different time delays between the optical laser and the FLASH probe pulse in Fig. 7 and compare with the "ideal" probe as displayed in Fig. 5. Most interestingly, the calculated Thomson scattering spectrum allows to monitor the temporal evolution of the electron density and temperature in the target. The radiative hydrodynamic simulations indicate that the sharp density and temperature profiles imposed by the optical laser start to dissolve in the subsequent 10 ps considered here, especially their steep flanks, while the peak values of density and temperature are still preserved. Thus, the intensity of the equatorial plasmon (red- and blue-shifted peaks) is decreasing. Its location however is only weakly shifted and an effective electron density of

$n_e = 5.2 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and an effective electron temperature of $T_e = 8.4 \text{ eV}$ can be derived for 10 ps.

Contrary, the intensity of the plasmon peak allocated to the polar region is enhanced with time. This can be attributed to scattering off the broadened flanks of the equatorial density distribution which are contributing, at least for the considered time delay of 10 ps, just in the density and temperature domain originally characteristic of the polar region alone. This "polar" peak moves slightly towards lower densities. We can derive an effective electron density of $n_e = 1.9 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and a slightly increased effective electron temperature of $T_e = 4.4 \text{ eV}$.

Significant modifications in the scattering signal are to be seen in the central peak of the spectrum which stems from the bulk of the target. The increasing electron density in this region as obtained from the HELIOS simulations is due to additional absorption processes of FLASH photons in the target (see Fig. 6) but also by the dissolution of the strong density gradients in the equatorial and polar region with time. This results in a switching between non-collective scattering for "ideal" probing to collective scattering for the realistic case; an effective electron density of $n_e = 6.4 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and an effective electron temperature of $T_e = 3.2 \text{ eV}$ can be derived for 10 ps.

For longer time delays than 10 ps we expect a further equalization of the electron density and temperature profiles so that scattering contributions from different target regions mix, i.e. a mapping of target regions to features in the scattering spectrum is increasingly hindered. Furthermore, electron-ion collisions will lead to an equilibration of the electron and ion temperature on these time scales. This relaxation process can be studied by considering the ion feature in Eq. (2) in addition to the contribution of free electrons. Hydrodynamic expansion of the droplet will occur on ns time scales.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have calculated the Thomson scattering signal of strongly inhomogeneous plasmas generated by an optical and probed with a soft X-ray laser. We have employed PIC simulations to derive electron density and temperature distributions in a liquid hydrogen droplet that is pumped by an optical laser. The interaction of the FLASH probe pulse with the preheated inhomogeneous target was considered by performing radiative hydrodynamic simulations using the HELIOS code. The DSF was then calculated for each cell using a quantum statistical approach (BMA) that accounts for collisions in the plasma.

In the case studied here, i.e. an optical pump-soft X-ray probe-experiment on liquid hydrogen droplets, two different pairs of plasmon peaks are seen in the Thomson scattering spectrum, see Fig. 7, which allow to identify the plasma parameters in the equatorial and the polar region




FIG. 7. (Color online) Free electron Thomson scattering spectrum off liquid hydrogen droplets as function of the photon energy shift ΔE . The “ideal” probe (solid red curve, see Fig. 5) is compared with the full pump-probe scenario considering delays of 1 ps (blue dotted line), 7.5 ps (green dash-dotted line) and 10 ps (broken black line). The electron densities for the different maxima are given in units of the critical density of optical laser in the upper legend ($n_{cr} = 1.7 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-3}$).

of the droplet on ultra-short time scales up to 1 ps. The density can be derived from the plasmon position and the temperature from the asymmetry of the peaks. Considering time delays between the optical laser and FLASH up to 10 ps, the changes in the scattering spectrum can be mapped onto the evolution of the electron density and temperature in the target. However, a mixing of scattering contributions that stem from different target regions occurs so that a clear identification at least of the polar region is hindered for longer times delays. The central

scattering peak that stems from the bulk region switches from non-collective if an “ideal” probe pulse is assumed to collective for a realistic treatment of the FLASH probe pulse.

Our calculations show that Thomson scattering is a promising tool to diagnose inhomogeneous plasmas and to monitor their time evolution. A variation of the laser parameters, e.g. energy of the pump and the probe pulse, length of the pump and probe pulse, delay between pump and probe, would give access to a broad region of plasma densities and temperatures of interest. The detailed analysis of this parameter space has still to be done and is subject of future work. For this purpose, efficient radiation-hydrodynamic codes with better spatial resolution than the 1d-HELIOS code that was used in the present study are highly desirable. Furthermore, improved theoretical approaches to the DSF including, e.g., local-field corrections [41] are needed in order to describe the correlations in the electron system beyond the BMA. Electron-ion relaxation processes could also be studied via the full Thomson scattering spectrum by measuring the intensity ratio of the electron and ion features separately and time-resolved, see [42, 43].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank E. Förster, G. Gregori, H. Reinholz, G. Röpke, and U. Zastra for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the DFG within the SFB 652 “Strong correlations and collective effects in radiation fields: Coulomb systems, clusters, and particles” and the Federal Ministry for Education and Science (BMBF) under grant FSP 301-FLASH, project No. 05KS7HRA. CF acknowledges support by the Alexander von Humboldt-Foundation. RRF acknowledges support by GrK 1355.

Prepared by LLNL under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.

-
- [1] S. H. Glenzer and R. Redmer, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 1625 (2009).
 - [2] S. H. Glenzer, W. Rozmus, B. J. MacGowan, K. G. Estabrook, J. D. De Groot, G. B. Zimmerman, H. A. Baldis, J. A. Harte, R. W. Lee, E. A. Williams, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 97 (1999).
 - [3] G. Gregori, S. H. Glenzer, H.-K. Chung, D. Froula, R. Lee, N. Meezan, J. Moody, C. Niemann, O. Landen, B. Holst, et al., *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer* **99**, 225 (2006).
 - [4] R. Lee, H. Baldis, R. Cauble, O. Landen, J. Wark, A. Ng, S. Rose, C. Lewis, D. Riley, J.-C. Gauthier, et al., *Laser Part. Beams* **20**, 527 (2002).
 - [5] R. W. Lee, S. J. Moon, H.-K. Chung, W. Rozmus, H. A. Baldis, G. Gregori, R. C. Cauble, O. L. Landen, J. S. Wark, A. Ng, et al., *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **20**, 770 (2003).
 - [6] S. H. Glenzer, G. Gregori, R. W. Lee, F. J. Rogers, S. W. Pollaine, and O. L. Landen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 175002 (2003).
 - [7] S. H. Glenzer, O. L. Landen, P. Neumayer, R. W. Lee, K. Widmann, S. W. Pollaine, R. J. Wallace, G. Gregori, A. Höll, T. Bornath, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 065002 (2007).
 - [8] S. H. Glenzer, P. Neumayer, T. Döppner, O. L. Landen, R. W. Lee, R. J. Wallace, S. Weber, H. J. Lee, A. L. Kritcher, R. Falcone, et al., *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **112**, 032071 (2008).
 - [9] H. J. Lee, P. Neumayer, J. Castor, T. Döppner, R. W. Falcone, C. Fortmann, B. A. Hammel, A. L. Kritcher, O. L. Landen, R. W. Lee, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 115001 (2009).
 - [10] A. L. Kritcher, P. Neumayer, J. Castor, T. Döppner, R. W. Falcone, O. L. Landen, H. J. Lee, R. W. Lee, E. C. Morse, A. Ng, et al., *Science* **322**, 69 (2008).
 - [11] E. G. Saiz, G. Gregori, D. O. Gericke, J. Vorberger, B. Barbrel, R. J. Clarke, R. R. Freeman, S. H. Glenzer, F. Y. Khattak, M. Koenig, et al., *Nat. Phys.* **4**, 940 (2008).

- [12] S. Glenzer, H. Lee, P. Davis, T. Döppner, R. Falcone, C. Fortmann, B. Hammel, A. Kritcher, O. Landen, R. Lee, et al., *High Energy Dens. Phys.* **6**, 1 (2010).
- [13] O. L. Landen, S. H. Glenzer, M. J. Edwards, R. W. Lee, G. W. Collins, R. C. Cauble, W. W. Hsing, and B. A. Hammel, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer* **71**, 465 (2001).
- [14] W. Ackermann, G. Asova, V. Ayvazyan, A. Azima, N. Baboi, J. Bähr, V. Balandin, B. Beutner, A. Brandt, A. Bolzmann, et al., *Nat. Photon.* **1**, 336 (2007).
- [15] Y. Ding, A. Brachmann, F.-J. Decker, D. Dowell, P. Emma, J. Frisch, S. Gilevich, G. Hays, P. Hering, Z. Huang, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 254801 (2009).
- [16] M. Altarelli, R. Brinkmann, M. Chergui, W. Decking, B. Dobson, S. Düsterer, G. Grübel, W. Graeff, H. Graafsma, J. Hajdu, et al., eds., *The European X-Ray Free-Electron Laser - Technical design report* (DESY 2006-097, Hamburg, 2007).
- [17] A. Höll, T. Bornath, L. Cao, T. Döppner, S. Düsterer, E. Förster, C. Fortmann, S. H. Glenzer, G. Gregori, T. Laarmann, et al., *High Energy Dens. Phys.* **3**, 120 (2007).
- [18] U. Zastra, C. Fortmann, R. R. Fäustlin, L. F. Cao, T. Döppner, S. Düsterer, S. H. Glenzer, G. Gregori, T. Laarmann, H. J. Lee, et al., *Phys. Rev. E* **78**, 066406 (2008).
- [19] B. Nagler, U. Zastra, R. R. Fäustlin, S. M. Vinko, T. Whitcher, A. J. Nelson, R. Sobierajsk, J. Krzywinski, J. Chalupsky, E. Abreu, et al., *Nat. Phys.* **5**, 693 (2009).
- [20] H. A. Baldis, J. Dunn, M. E. Foord, and W. Rozmus, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **73**, 4223 (2002).
- [21] R. Fäustlin, T. Bornath, T. Döppner, S. Düsterer, E. Förster, C. Fortmann, S. H. Glenzer, S. Göde, G. Gregori, R. Irsig, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 125002 (2010).
- [22] C. Fortmann, R. Thiele, R. Fäustlin, T. Bornath, B. Holst, W.-D. Kraeft, V. Schwarz, S. Toleikis, T. Tschentscher, and R. Redmer, *High Energy Dens. Phys.* **5**, 208 (2009).
- [23] J. Chihara, *J. Phys. Cond. Matter* **12**, 231 (2000).
- [24] J. Chihara, *J. Phys. F* **17**, 295 (1987).
- [25] G. Gregori, A. Ravasio, A. Höll, S. H. Glenzer, and S. J. Rose, *High Energy Dens. Phys.* **3**, 99 (2007).
- [26] S. Sahoo, G. F. Gribakin, G. S. Naz, J. Kohanoff, and D. Riley, *Phys. Rev. E* **77**, 046402 (2008).
- [27] E. E. Salpeter, *Phys. Rev.* **120**, 1528 (1960).
- [28] R. Thiele, T. Bornath, C. Fortmann, A. Höll, R. Redmer, H. Reinholz, G. Röpke, A. Wierling, S. H. Glenzer, and G. Gregori, *Phys. Rev. E* **78**, 026411 (2008).
- [29] N. D. Mermin, *Phys. Rev. B* **1**, 2362 (1970).
- [30] A. Selchow, G. Röpke, A. Wierling, H. Reinholz, T. Pschiwul, and G. Zwicknagel, *Phys. Rev. E* **64**, 056410 (2001).
- [31] H. Reinholz, R. Redmer, G. Röpke, and A. Wierling, *Phys. Rev. E* **62**, 5648 (2000).
- [32] R. Thiele, R. Redmer, H. Reinholz, and G. Röpke, *J. Phys. A* **39**, 4365 (2006).
- [33] A. Höll, R. Redmer, G. Röpke, and H. Reinholz, *Eur. Phys. J. D* **29**, 159 (2004).
- [34] R. Redmer, H. Reinholz, G. Röpke, R. Thiele, and A. Höll, *IEEE Trans. on Plasma Sci.* **33**, 77 (2005).
- [35] C. Fortmann, T. Bornath, R. Redmer, H. Reinholz, G. Röpke, V. Schwarz, and R. Thiele, *Laser Part. Beams* **27**, 311 (2009).
- [36] A. Pukhov, *J. Plasma Phys.* **61**, 425 (1999).
- [37] A. Karmakar and A. Pukhov, *Laser and Particle Beams* **25**, 371 (2007).
- [38] J. MacFarlane, I. Golovkin, and P. Woodruff, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer* **99**, 381 (2006).
- [39] S. Kuhlbrodt, B. Holst, and R. Redmer, *Contrib. Plasma Phys.* **45**, 73 (2005).
- [40] M. R. Zaghoul, M. A. Bourham, and J. M. Doster, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **34**, 772 (2001).
- [41] C. Fortmann, A. Wierling, and G. Röpke, *Phys. Rev. E* **81**, 026405 (2010).
- [42] G. Gregori and D. O. Gericke, *Eur. Phys. Lett.* **83**, 15002 (2008).
- [43] G. Gregori and D. O. Gericke, *Phys. Plasmas* **16**, 056306 (2009).